Tate, Michele

FORM LETTERS A 451-500

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of

Michael Mc Cullough [michaelm337@mac.com]

Sent:

Monday, December 14, 2009 11:52 AM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

RECEIVED

DEC 2 3 RECT

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Michael Mc Cullough 1720 Hilltown Rd Biglerville, PA 17307-9479 (717) 337-0196

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of

Michael Mc Cullough [michaelm337@mac.com]

Sent:

Monday, December 14, 2009 11:52 AM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

DEC 2 3 RFCT

RECEIVED

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Michael Mc Cullough 1720 Hilltown Rd Biglerville, PA 17307-9479 (717) 337-0196

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of John

Inserra [jinserra@yahoo.com]

Sent:

Monday, December 14, 2009 11:52 AM EP, RegComments

To: Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

RECEIVED

DEC 2 3 RECD

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvaria DEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr and Mrs John Inserra 1219 Driftwood Dr Pittsburgh, PA 15243-1738

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Jason

Bohenek [aceofspades033@aol.com]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:51 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

DEC 23 RECO

RECEIVED

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Jason Bohenek 240 Morgan St Jessup, PA 18434-1320 (570) 575-9439

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Paula

Capaldo [plcrih@comcast.net]

Sent:

Monday, December 14, 2009 11:51 AM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

RECEIVED

dec 23 reco

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Ms Paula Capaldo 5833 Cricket Ln Bensalem, PA 19020-3052

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Nicole

Skeltys [nicoleskeltys1@gmail.com]

Sent:

Monday, December 14, 2009 11:51 AM EP, RegComments

To: Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

RECEIVED

John Hanger

DEC 23 RECO

Dear Hanger,

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Ms Nicole Skeltys 227 45th St Pittsburgh, PA 15201-2819 (425) 495-9262

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Roger

Horn [rghorn_2000@yahoo.com]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:51 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009 RECEIVED

John Hanger DEC 2 S RECT

Dear Hanger, INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Roger Horn 32 Barber St Clarion, PA 16214-1603 (814) 226-7367

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Daniel

Max Behl [dmb193@hotmail.com]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:51 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

RECEIVED

DEC 2 S RECT

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania $\stackrel{INDEPENDENT}{REVIEW}$ COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Daniel Max Behl 912 Longfield Rd Erdenheim, PA 19038-7838 (858) 336-4633

Tate, Michele

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Alfred

Burgo [jbamonteburgo@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:50 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009 RECEIVED

John Hanger DEC 2 S RECT

Dear Hanger,

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Dr and Mrs Alfred Burgo 1074 Devon Rd Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3802

Tate, Michele

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Chad

Sethman [sethcr@hotmail.com]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:50 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009 RECEIVED

John Hanger DEC 2 S REST

Dear Hanger,

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Dr Chad Sethman 410 E Greene St Carmichaels, PA 15320-1218 (615) 354-6367

RECEIVED

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

Tate, Michele

Dear Hanger,

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Robin

Butler [robinyrbutler@aol.com]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:50 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger OFC 2 3 RECTION

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mrs Robin Butler 4501 Fritchey St Harrisburg, PA 17109-2812 (717) 657-0454

Tate, Michele

Dear Hanger,

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of

Christopher Wright [christopher.wright@villanova.edu]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:50 AM

To: EP, RegComments
Subject: EP, RegComments
RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009 RECEIVED

John Hanger
DEC 2 5 RECT

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Christopher Wright 4033 Spring Garden St Philadelphia, PA 19104-2230 (412) 335-8088

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY

Tate, Michele

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Larry

Dejohn [thelazyd@yahoo.com]

Monday, December 14, 2009 11:50 AM Sent:

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

RECEIVED John Hanger DEC 2 S RECT

Dear Hanger,

REVIEW COMMISSION Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr and Mrs Larry Dejohn 287 Lazy D Ln Reynoldsville, PA 15851-4779 (814) 894-5036

DEC 2 3 RECT

Tate, Michele

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Peter

Wray [pjwray@verizon.net]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:50 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Peter Wray 110 Royal Oak Ave Pittsburgh, PA 15235-5052 (412) 244-9907

Tate, Michele

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Sue

Croll [scroll58@aol.com]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:50 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

DEC 25 RECO

RECEIVED

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

INDEFERDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Ms Sue Croll 4494 Country View Dr Doylestown, PA 18902-9557 (215) 348-0743

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of

Tamara Barker [barkerbellheirlooms@hotmail.com]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:50 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

RECEIVED

DEC 2 3 RETU

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mrs Tamara Barker 2788 Pangburn Hollow Rd Monongahela, PA 15063-4605 (412) 405-9264

Tate, Michele

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Kim

Comer [k.d.comer1@gmail.com]

Sent:

Monday, December 14, 2009 11:50 AM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

RECEIVED

John Hanger

DEC 2 3 pmn

Dear Hanger,

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Ms Kim Comer PO Box 38832 Philadelphia, PA 19104-8832

Tate, Michele

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Loretta

Bengivenga [mascaraandmonsters@yahoo.com]

Sent:

Monday, December 14, 2009 11:50 AM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

RECEIVED

John Hanger

nec 23 rem

Dear Hanger,

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Ms Loretta Bengivenga 410 William St Pen Argyl, PA 18072-1770

Tate, Michele

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of

Jennifer Feder [yosh1989@aol.com]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:50 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

DEC 2 3 RECO

RECEIVED

Dear Hanger,

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Miss Jennifer Feder 1418 Valley Vw Warrington, PA 18976-1346 (267) 864-7291

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of G

Chapman [megcc01@moravian.edu]

Sent:

Monday, December 14, 2009 11:50 AM

To:

EP. RegComments

Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

RECEIVED

dec 2 3 mm

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania NDEFENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMESSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Dr G Chapman 66 W Greenwich St Bethlehem, PA 18018-2441 (610) 868-6651

Tate, Michele

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Robert

Gibb [rngibb@earthlink.net]

Sent:

Monday, December 14, 2009 11:49 AM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

RECEIVED

John Hanger

DEC 23 RECD

Dear Hanger,

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Robert Gibb 5036 Revenue St Homestead, PA 15120-1227 (412) 461-4483

RECEIVED

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY

REVIEW COMMISSION

Tate, Michele

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Eric

Fanning [mt08fanning@gmail.com]

Monday, December 14, 2009 11:49 AM Sent:

EP, RegComments To:

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

Dear Hanger,

John Hanger DEC 23 RECT

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Eric Fanning 24 Pintail Turn Lititz, PA 17543-8373 (717) 560-4063

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Cheryl

Redfern [cheryl.a.redfern@verizon.com] Monday, December 14, 2009 11:49 AM

Sent: To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

RECEIVED DEC 23 RECD

Dear Hanger,

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Ms Cheryl Redfern 9001 Ridge Ave Unit 11 Philadelphia, PA 19128-1063

Tate, Michele

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Helen

Faller [helen.faller@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:49 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009 RECEIVED

John Hanger DEC 2 3 RECT

Dear Hanger, INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Dr Helen Faller 333 Gerritt St Philadelphia, PA 19147-5905

Tate, Michele

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Emily

Wallace [emily.wallace@gmail.com]

Sent: To: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:49 AM EP, RegComments

Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

RECEIVED
DEC 23 REGIO

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Emily Wallace 53 W Church St Bethlehem, PA 18018-5823 (610) 866-7432

Tate, Michele

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of

Michael D'Angelo [dangelom@neumann.edu]

Sent:

Monday, December 14, 2009 11:48 AM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

RECEIVED

John Hanger

DEC 23 REC'D

Dear Hanger,

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Michael D'Angelo 2003 E Marshall Rd Lansdowne, PA 19050-1024 (610) 358-4541

Tate, Michele

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Mary

Hart [maryhart@epix.net]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:48 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

DEC 23 RECO

RECEIVED

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Mary Hart 107 Weatherby St Dalton, PA 18414-8002 (570) 563-2056

Tate, Michele

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Kyoichi

Haruta [haruta@alum.mit.edu]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:48 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

RECEIVED

John Hanger

DEC 2 3 RECTO

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Dr Kyoichi Haruta 1840 Ridgelawn Ave Bethlehem, PA 18018-1636 (610) 865-1113

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of

Samuel Wingard [winkydink@windstream.net]

Sent:

Monday, December 14, 2009 11:48 AM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

RECEIVED

DEC 23 RECO

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Samuel Wingard 2652 Barnard Rd Dayton, PA 16222-1208 (814) 257-8404

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Miriam

Parson [miriamrparson@gmail.com] Monday, December 14, 2009 11:24 AM

Sent: To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

RECEIVED

DEC 23 RECT

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania EVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Miriam Parson 3109 Forbes Ave Ste F100 Obtain Address Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3010

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of

Thomas O'Donnell [jantom@nep.net]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:24 AM

To: EP, RegComments
Subject: EP, RegComments
RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

RECEIVED

DEC 2 3 NECTO INDEPENDENT REGULATORY

REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Thomas O'Donnell PO Box 4 Poyntelle, PA 18454-0004 (570) 727-3076

Tate, Michele

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Natalie

Greene [nrgreenex@aol.com]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:24 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

RECEIVED

Dear Hanger,

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Ms Natalie Greene 4425 McCaslin St Pittsburgh, PA 15207-1259 (412) 901-2120

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Jim

Miller [dreamboxm@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:24 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

RECEIVED

DEC 25 RECT

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Jim Miller PO Box 8132 Phila, PA 19101-8132 (610) 328-1619

RECEIVED

Tate, Michele

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Phil

Starr [philstarr@yahoo.com]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:24 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger, DEC 2 S REC'D

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Phil Starr 512 Bean Blossom Dr Lancaster, PA 17603-6306

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of David

Thinnes [dthinnes@comcast.net]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:24 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

RECEIVED

DEC 2 S RECT

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr David Thinnes 5632 Donson Way Pittsburgh, PA 15201-2331 (412) 784-1048

RECEIVED

Tate, Michele

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Lisa

Bleicher [doclisa@ptd.net]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:24 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger DEC 2 3 RECO

Dear Hanger, INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Lisa Bleicher 716 North St Jim Thorpe, PA 18229-2212 (570) 325-3508

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Gary

Bater [garybater@yahoo.com]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:23 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

RECEIVED

nec 28 reco

Dear Hanger,

John Hanger

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Gary Bater 112 Driftwood Dr State College, PA 16803-1160

RECEIVED

Tate, Michele

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Nancy

Jennings [thomasj343@aol.com]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:23 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger, DEC 2 3 RECO

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Nancy Jennings 403 Orchard Ln Fort Washington, PA 19034-1212 (215) 643-2744

Tate, Michele

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Lynn

Cowell [lynn_1021@hotmail.com]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:23 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

RECEIVED

John Hanger

DEC 23 RECT

Dear Hanger,

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mrs Lynn Cowell 363 Ben Avon St Meadville, PA 16335-1221 (814) 337-3311

Tate, Michele

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of

Suzanne Hall [shall3991@yahoo.com]

Sent:

Monday, December 14, 2009 11:23 AM EP, RegComments

To: Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

RECEIVED

John Hanger

DEC 2 3 REC'D

Dear Hanger,

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Ms Suzanne Hall PO Box 732 Mont Alto, PA 17237-0732 (123) 456-7890

Tate, Michele

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of

Gregory Garansi [ggaransi@earthlink.net]

Sent:

Monday, December 14, 2009 11:23 AM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

RECEIVED

John Hanger

DEC 23 RECT

Dear Hanger,

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Gregory Garansi 1582 Snake Run Rd Wampum, PA 16157-6428 (724) 730-8587

Tate, Michele

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of

Dwayne Haus [haus@pa.net]

Sent:

Monday, December 14, 2009 11:23 AM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

RECEIVED

John Hanger

DEC 23 RECT

Dear Hanger,

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Dr Dwayne Haus PO Box 491 State College, PA 16804-0491 (814) 933-8399

Tate, Michele

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of

Edward Sinkler [sinklere@slsd.org]

Sent:

Monday, December 14, 2009 11:23 AM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

RECEIVED
DEC 2 3 RECT

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr and Mrs Edward Sinkler 672 Ostrum St Fountain Hill, PA 18015-1118

Tate, Michele

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of John

Furlong [jjfu@aol.com]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:23 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

RECEIVED
DEC 2 3 RECTO

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr John Furlong 204 County Line Rd Trevose, PA 19053-6502 (215) 357-1898

Tate, Michele

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of

Barbara Vanhorn [bvhbarb@pa.net]

Sent: To: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:23 AM EP, RegComments

Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

RECEIVED

John Hanger

DEC 23 REC'D

Dear Hanger,

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Ms Barbara Vanhorn 41 Petersburg Ln Duncannon, PA 17020-1900 (717) 834-6458

From: Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Rajan

Wadhwani [chandu11@verizon.net]

Sent: Monday, December 14, 2009 11:23 AM

To: EP, RegComments

Subject: RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

DEC 23 RECO

RECEIVED

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Rajan Wadhwani 1 Franklin Town Blvd Apt 710 Philadelphia, PA 19103-1243

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of

Severina Kluizenaar [skluizenaar@gmail.com]

Sent:

Monday, December 14, 2009 11:23 AM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

RECEIVED

DEC 23 RECO

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Severina Kluizenaar 606 Freedom Way West Grove, PA 19390-1387

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of

Frederick Reif [freif@andrew.cmu.edu]

Sent:

Monday, December 14, 2009 11:23 AM EP, RegComments

To: Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

RECEIVED

John Hanger

DEC 23 RECT

Dear Hanger,

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Dr Frederick Reif 1000 Elmhurst Rd Pittsburgh, PA 15215-1818 (412) 781-7095

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Gudrun

Weinberg [gudrunw@comcast.net]

Sent:

Monday, December 14, 2009 11:23 AM

To:

EP, RegComments

Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

RECEIVED

John Hanger

nec 23 reco

Dear Hanger,

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Gudrun Weinberg 801 Yale Ave Apt 1217 Swarthmore, PA 19081-1813 (610) 543-0144

From:

Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Mary

Lou Kleinbach [maryglass@dejazzd.com]

Sent:

Monday, December 14, 2009 11:22 AM EP, RegComments

Subject:

RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Ms Mary Lou Kleinbach 31 Sally Ann Furnace Rd Mertztown, PA 19539-9200